

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/691,429	10/18/2000	Joseph Tyler	1932.2005-002	4918	
21005 759	90 05/02/2003				
HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C.			EXAMINER		
530 VIRGINIA ROAD			GEORGE, KONATA M		
P.O. BOX 9133	A 01742 0122				
CONCORD, MA 01742-9133			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1616	17	
			DATE MAILED: 05/02/2003	(/	
				/	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_			
*							
Office Action Summany		09/691,429	TYLER ET AL.	_			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	The MAN INC DATE of this communication on	Konata M. George	1616	_			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet wil	n the correspondence address				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a re ly within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT e, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27.	January 2003 .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.					
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims						
	Claim(s) 2-23 is/are pending in the application	ר					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra						
	Claim(s) 23 is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>2-22</u> is/are rejected.		•				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9) 🗀 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) 🗌 -	Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	pted or b) ☐ objected to by th	e Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • •					
11) 🔲 -	The proposed drawing correction filed on		sapproved by the Examiner.				
40)[7] -	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		·				
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer.					
	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		440() () ()				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
		·	· ——				
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_				
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application).				
) \square The translation of the foreign language process. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	* *					
Attachmen	•						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>8</u>	5) Notice of Ir	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/691,429

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 2-23 are pending in this application.

Action Summary

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Specification

2. The use of the trademark Renagel ® on page 3 of the specification has been noted in this application. It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

Information Disclosure Statement

- 3. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on October 2, 2001 was noted and the submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97.

 Accordingly, the examiner has considered the information disclosure statement.
- 4. The rejection of claims 2-18 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over Holmes-Farley et al. in view of John et al. is hereby withdrawn.
- 5. The rejection of claim 22 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over Holmes-Farley et al. in view of the instant specification is hereby withdrawn.

Application/Control Number: 09/691,429

Art Unit: 1616

R sponse to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2-18 and 22 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 2-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mandeville, III et al. (US Pat. No. 6,264,937 B1) in view of John et al. (US Pat. No. 4,302,440).

Mandeville, III describes a composition which can be formulated into an oral dosage i.e. tablets comprising a fat-binding polymer (col. 11, lines 21-24). The fat-binding polymers of the prior art can include poly vinylamine, poly allylamine, poly diallylamine, etc. (col. 4, lines 25-27). These polymers can be substituted or unsubstituted (col. 4, lines 39-42) or they could be linear or crosslinked wherein such cross-linking agents can be epichlorohydrin (col. 5, lines 37-55). Table 1, Column 12, lines 60 through col. 13, lines 1-15 describes examples of epichlorohydrin crosslinked polymers. Example 3, column 12, lines 21-58 describe a method of producing a crosslinked poly (allylamine) HCL. The prior art does not disclose a coating applied to the tablet.

Application/Control Number: 09/691,429

Art Unit: 1616

John describes a coating for tablets comprising an aqueous coating comprising hydroxypropyl methylcellulose and a plasticizer (abstract). The reasons for coating tablets is to improve the ease of swallowing tablets, reducing the incidences of epigastric distress, providing a tablet readily disintegrable in the stomach, etc. (col. 4, lines 42-51).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the aqueous coating of John with the tablet of Mandeville, III. Although, Mandeville, III does not recited a coating applied to the tablet, adding a coating to the tablet would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art as recited in Column 4, lines 42-51 of John et al.

Conclusion

- 8. Claims 2-22 stand rejected.
- 9. Claim 23 is allowed.

Telephone Inquiries

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Konata M. George, whose telephone number is (703) 308-4646. The examiner can normally be reached from 8AM to 5:30PM Monday to Thursday, and on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, José Dees, can be reached at (703) 308-4628. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4556 for regular communications and for After Final communications.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/691,429

Art Unit: 1616

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Konata M. George

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER